



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,622	08/04/2006	Mark F. Sonnenschein	63344A	8246
109	7590	05/18/2011		
The Dow Chemical Company			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1967			ZHAO, XIAO SI	
2040 Dow Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Midland, MI 48641			1712	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

FFUIMPC@dow.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/588,622 Examiner XIAO ZHAO	Applicant(s) SONNENSCHEIN ET AL. Art Unit 1712
---	--	---

–The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: **1-9 and 11-16**

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Xiao S Zhao/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1712

/Frederick J. Parker/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1715

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendment to claim 13 removed a limitation "to make an effective adhesive". The instant claims remain rejected under the same prior arts as indicated in the previous Office Action. Specifically, claims 1-9, 11-12 are still rejected under Sonnenschein et al. (US '227) in view of Lassila et al. (US '605). Claims 13-15 are rejected under Sonnenschein et al. (US '227) in view of Piechocki et al. (US '287). With regards to applicants' arguments against "the concentration is sufficient to increase stability of the protected alkylborane complex in water" under rejection of claims 1-9 and 11-12, applicants are reminded that claims 1-9 and 11-12 are product claims and product claims are not limited by their functional language. Since Sonnenschein/Lassila disclose each and every limitation as instantly recited, it is also capable of sufficiently increasing the stability of the protected alkylborane complex in water. Applicants have not provided any factual evidence to indicate that a composition range of 0.5 to 25 wt% of the surfactant provides unexpected results over simply optimization.. With regards to applicants' argument that the "concentration is sufficient to increase stability to the protected alkylborane complex in water" under rejection of claims 13-15, it is the Examiner's position that since Piechocki et al. disclose that adding a PEG increase shelf-stability in a composition with a water solution, it increase stability in water. Applicants' argument regarding the use of three surfactants by Piechocki et al. is not persuasive since the instant claim language uses "comprises" and thus does not exclude the use of other surfactants.